

## On the countable generator theorem

by

Michael S. Keane (Amsterdam) and Jacek Serafin (Wrocław)

**Abstract.** Let  $T$  be a finite entropy, aperiodic automorphism of a nonatomic probability space. We give an elementary proof of the existence of a finite entropy, countable generating partition for  $T$ .

In this short article we give a simple proof of Rokhlin's countable generator theorem [Ro], originating from considerations in [Se] which use standard techniques in ergodic theory. We hope that these considerations will be useful for elementary expositions in the future. For other proofs see [Pa].

Let  $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  be a nonatomic probability space whose  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  is generated modulo  $\mu$  by a countable collection  $\{A_1, A_2, \dots\}$  of elements of  $\mathcal{A}$ . Let  $T$  be an aperiodic automorphism of  $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  with finite entropy. For the definitions and properties of entropy and generators used in the sequel, we refer the reader to Billingsley [Bill] and Walters [Wa].

**THEOREM.**  $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu, T)$  has a countable generating partition of finite entropy.

Our proof is based on the following lemma.

**LEMMA.** Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be a finite partition of  $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu, T)$ ,  $A$  an element of  $\mathcal{A}$ , and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Set

$$\tilde{\mathcal{P}} := \mathcal{P} \vee \{A, A^c\} \quad \text{and} \quad g := \tilde{h} - h,$$

where

$$h := h(T, \mathcal{P}) \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{h} := h(T, \tilde{\mathcal{P}})$$

denote the respective mean entropies of the partitions  $\mathcal{P}$  and  $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}$ . Then there exists a finite partition  $\mathcal{Q}$  of  $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu, T)$  such that

$$(1) \quad \mathcal{P} \preceq \mathcal{Q},$$

$$(2) \quad A \in \bigvee_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} T^n \mathcal{Q},$$

$$(3) \quad H(\mathcal{Q}) \leq H(\mathcal{P}) + g + \varepsilon.$$

Assuming the validity of this lemma, here is the proof of the theorem: Using the lemma, we produce inductively a sequence  $\mathcal{Q}_0 \preceq \mathcal{Q}_1 \preceq \dots$  of finite partitions as follows. First, set  $\mathcal{Q}_0 = \{X\}$ . If  $\mathcal{Q}_k$  has been defined, then take

$$\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2^{k+1}}, \quad \mathcal{P} = \mathcal{Q}_k, \quad A = A_{k+1}$$

in the lemma to obtain  $\mathcal{Q}_{k+1} := \mathcal{Q}$ . By (1) and (2), for each  $k \geq 0$ ,

$$A_1, \dots, A_k \in \bigvee_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} T^n \mathcal{Q}_k.$$

Moreover, property (3) yields

$$H(\mathcal{Q}_{k+1}) - H(\mathcal{Q}_k) \leq h(T, \mathcal{Q}_{k+1}) - h(T, \mathcal{Q}_k) + \frac{1}{2^{k+1}}$$

for each  $k \geq 0$ ; summing from zero to  $k$  results in

$$H(\mathcal{Q}_k) \leq h(T, \mathcal{Q}_k) + \sum_{j=1}^{k+1} \frac{1}{2^j} \leq (\text{Entropy of } T) + 1.$$

In particular,  $\sup_k H(\mathcal{Q}_k) < \infty$ . Now set

$$\mathcal{Q} := \bigvee_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{Q}_k;$$

then  $H(\mathcal{Q}) = \sup_k H(\mathcal{Q}_k)$  is finite, and  $A_k \in \bigvee_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} T^n \mathcal{Q}$  for each  $k$ , so that  $\mathcal{Q}$  is a countable generating partition of finite entropy. ■

Next, we give a proof of the lemma in the case where  $T$  is ergodic. It is clear that we may replace the condition (2) by the condition

$$(4) \quad \text{there exists an } A' \in \bigvee_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} T^n \mathcal{Q} \text{ with } \mu(A \Delta A') < \varepsilon.$$

To see this, suppose that the lemma holds in this modified form, and for  $\varepsilon > 0$  choose  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$\delta + \{-\delta \log \delta - (1 - \delta) \log(1 - \delta)\} \leq \varepsilon.$$

Apply the modified lemma using  $\delta$  in place of  $\varepsilon$  to get a partition  $\mathcal{Q}'$  satisfying (1), (4), and (3). Then

$$\mathcal{Q} := \mathcal{Q}' \vee \{A \Delta A', X \setminus (A \Delta A')\}$$

satisfies (1) and (2), and

$$\begin{aligned} H(\mathcal{Q}) &\leq H(\mathcal{Q}') + H(\{A \triangle A', X \setminus (A \triangle A')\}) \\ &\leq H(\mathcal{P}) + g + \delta + \{-\delta \log \delta - (1 - \delta) \log(1 - \delta)\} \\ &\leq H(\mathcal{P}) + g + \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

as required.

For a fixed positive integer  $m$ , which we shall choose in a moment, let

$$\{A_{ij} : 1 \leq i \leq p^m, 1 \leq j \leq 2^m\}$$

be a list of the (possibly empty) atoms of  $\bigvee_{n=0}^{m-1} T^n \tilde{\mathcal{P}}$  such that the sets

$$A_i := \bigcup_{j=1}^{2^m} A_{ij}$$

are the atoms (possibly empty) of  $\bigvee_{n=0}^{m-1} T^n \mathcal{P}$ ; here we have assumed that  $\mathcal{P}$  has  $p$  elements.

By the Shannon–McMillan–Breiman theorem (what we need here is convergence in probability, see [Bill], Thm. 13.2), if  $\delta > 0$  and  $m$  is large enough, “most” of the  $A_{ij}$  have measures in

$$[e^{-(\tilde{h}+\delta)m}, e^{-(\tilde{h}-\delta)m}]$$

and “most” of the  $A_i$  have measures in

$$[e^{-(h+\delta)m}, e^{-(h-\delta)m}],$$

“most” meaning, of course, a set with total measure close to 1. For a  $\delta > 0$  also to be determined shortly, we now choose  $m$  so large that the total measure of the atoms  $A_i$  for which

$$(5) \quad \mu(A_i) > e^{-(h-\delta)m}$$

is smaller than  $\delta$ , and also so that the total measure of the atoms  $A_{ij}$  for which

$$(6) \quad \mu(A_{ij}) < e^{-(\tilde{h}+\delta)m}$$

is smaller than  $\delta$ .

Next, we reorganize the array  $\{A_{ij}\}$  as follows. First, delete all the rows  $i$  for which (5) holds. Then, in the remaining rows, delete all the  $A_{ij}$  for which (6) holds. Finally, renumber the remaining elements to obtain the array

$$\{A'_{ij} : 1 \leq i \leq I, 1 \leq j \leq J_i\},$$

each row of which is a subcollection of a row of the original array. Since now still

$$\sum_{j=1}^{J_i} \mu(A'_{ij}) \leq e^{-(h-\delta)m}$$

for each row  $i$ , and since  $\mu(A'_{ij}) \geq e^{-(\tilde{h}+\delta)m}$  for each of the remaining elements of a row, it follows that for each  $1 \leq i \leq I$ ,

$$J_i \leq \frac{e^{-(h-\delta)m}}{e^{-(\tilde{h}+\delta)m}} = e^{(g+2\delta)m}.$$

If  $\bar{J} := \max_{1 \leq i \leq I} J_i$ , and  $1 \leq j \leq \bar{J}$ , then we set

$$Q'_j := \bigcup_{\{i: j \leq J_i\}} A'_{ij}.$$

Now use Rokhlin's lemma to get a set  $M \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $M, TM, \dots, T^{m-1}M$  are pairwise disjoint and

$$\mu\left(X \setminus \bigcup_{n=0}^{m-1} T^n\right) < \delta,$$

and define the partitions

$$\mathcal{Q}' := \left\{ M \cap Q'_1, \dots, M \cap Q'_{\bar{J}}, X \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^{\bar{J}} M \cap Q'_j \right\}$$

and  $\mathcal{Q} := \mathcal{P} \vee \mathcal{Q}'$ . Without loss of generality, by choosing  $m$  sufficiently large and by replacement of  $M$  by one of the  $T^n M$  with  $n$  small with respect to  $m$  ( $n < m\sqrt{3\delta}$  will do), we may assume that

$$\frac{\mu(M \cap \bigcup_{j=1}^{\bar{J}} Q'_j)}{\mu(M)} > 1 - \sqrt{3\delta}.$$

Then, by construction,  $\bigvee_{n=-m}^m T^{-n} \mathcal{Q}$  contains a set  $A'$  with  $\mu(A \triangle A') \leq \sqrt{3\delta}$ , namely the union of all its atoms contained in  $A$ .

As  $\mu(M) \leq 1/m$  and  $\bar{J} \leq e^{(g+2\delta)m}$ , we have

$$H(\mathcal{Q}') \leq -\bar{J} \cdot \frac{1}{m\bar{J}} \cdot \log\left(\frac{1}{m\bar{J}}\right) - \frac{m-1}{m} \log \frac{m-1}{m} \leq g + 2\delta + \frac{\log m}{m} + \frac{1}{m},$$

and hence

$$H(\mathcal{Q}) \leq H(\mathcal{P}) + g + 2\delta + \frac{\log m}{m} + \frac{1}{m}.$$

Thus choosing  $\delta$  such that

$$\max\left\{ \sqrt{3\delta}, \frac{\log m}{m} + 2\delta + \frac{1}{m} \right\} < \varepsilon$$

finishes the proof. ■

Finally, we give a sketch of how this proof can be modified for the non-ergodic case. Suppose, for instance, that  $\mu$  has two ergodic components, say  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$ , with

$$\mu = \alpha\mu_1 + (1 - \alpha)\mu_2.$$

Each  $\mu_i$  corresponds to entropies  $\tilde{h}_i, h_i$  and  $g_i = \tilde{h}_i - h_i$  as above,  $i = 1$  or  $2$ . If we produced  $\mathcal{Q}'_1$  and  $\mathcal{Q}'_2$  as above and joined them to  $\mathcal{P}$ , the entropy would be too large, and we need to merge the atoms of  $\mathcal{Q}'_1$  and  $\mathcal{Q}'_2$ . For this, the numbers  $m_1$  and  $m_2$  need to be chosen such that  $m_1 g_1 \approx m_2 g_2$ ; all other considerations remain the same. A similar argument applies for arbitrary nonergodic  $\mu$  by approximation by a finite number of unions of ergodic components with approximately the same  $\tilde{h}$  and  $h$  values. The details are left to the reader.

### References

- [Bill] P. Billingsley, *Ergodic Theory and Information*, Wiley, 1965.
- [Pa] W. Parry, *Generators and strong generators in ergodic theory*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 72 (1966), 294–296.
- [Ro] V. Rokhlin, *Generators in ergodic theory, II*, Vestnik Leningrad. Univ. Mat. Mekh. Astronom. 1965, 68–72 (in Russian).
- [Se] J. Serafin, *Finitary codes and isomorphisms*, Ph.D. Thesis, Technische Universiteit Delft, 1996.
- [Wa] P. Walters, *An Introduction to Ergodic Theory*, Springer, 1982.

Centre for Mathematics  
and Computer Science (CWI)  
Post Office Box 94079  
1090 GB Amsterdam, The Netherlands  
E-mail: keane@cwi.nl

Institute of Mathematics  
Wrocław University of Technology  
Wybrzeże Wyspiańskiego 27  
50-370 Wrocław, Poland  
E-mail: serafin@banach.im.pwr.wroc.pl

*Received 30 September 1997;  
in revised form 10 December 1997*